

Ch 10:

Pearson χ^2 tests

① goodness-of-fit test
(GOF)

GOF is complicated because it

- leaves much to the imagination (non-P)
- basically a multinomial test (P)
under the hood

It can be applied whenever

- your data can be categorized
- & you can calculate expectation for those categories under H_0

often H_0 involves choosing a distribution (P), so GOF testing is a P/non-P hybrid.

6 of contingency table $(1 \times c)$
 $\uparrow$ # of categories

	class 1	class 2	...	class c	total
obs:	O_1	O_2		O_c	$n = \sum_{j=1}^c O_j$

The case of $c=2$ is a Binomial test from Ch 1.

H_0 must boil down to $p_1, \dots, p_c$ so that we may "model"
 $O \sim \text{Multinomial}(n, p)$
 $\rightarrow e_j = E\{O_j\} = np_j \quad j=1, \dots, c$

Particular values for p may come from a separate (p) analysis (e.g. MLE), so that the test can be reframed as

$$H_0: p = p_0$$

$$v. H_1: p \neq p_0$$

The test stat is

$$\chi^2 = \sum (o_j - e_j)^2 / e_j \quad \leftarrow$$

$e_j = np_j$
 $\downarrow$

Math way

It can be shown that

$$\rightarrow \chi^2 \sim \chi_{c-1}^2 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty \quad (\text{CLT})$$

Chapter 10

(B) Homogeneity & Independence test

↓
 r-fold upgrade of multinomial test (A)
 r populations, c classes/categories
Classification ANOVA (Ch 6)

r x c contingency table

1 pop, row class &
 col class
 both random

TABLE 10.2: Homogeneity contingency table.

	col class 1	col class 2	...	col class c	total
row pop 1	o_{11}	o_{12}	...	o_{1c}	r_1 n_1 $= \sum_j o_{1j}$
row pop 2	o_{21}	o_{22}	...	o_{2c}	r_2 n_2 $= \sum_j o_{2j}$
⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮
row pop r	o_{r1}	o_{r2}	...	o_{rc}	r_r n_r $= \sum_j o_{rj}$
total	$c_1 = \sum_i o_{i1}$	$c_2 = \sum_i o_{i2}$	...	$c_c = \sum_i o_{ic}$	$n = \sum_{ij} o_{ij}$

WTK: each pop/row has the same class probabilities or not

$H_0: D_{ij} \sim p_j$ for all $i=1, \dots, r, j=1, \dots, c$

v. H_1 : some $k \neq j$ has $p_{ij} \neq p_{kj}$

like GOF, each n_i is fixed by design
but each c_j (col sum) is random

$$\text{note: } n = \sum_{j=1}^c c_j = \sum_{i=1}^r n_i$$

$\downarrow$
ntot

Independence tests are subtly different

WTK: if row/col classifications are
independent (H_0) or not (H_1)

$\downarrow$
does knowing a row class determine
the col class, or vice versa?

"model" for both (Homogeneity, & Indip)

$$O \sim \text{Multinom}(n, p) \quad \hookrightarrow r \times c$$

$$p_{ij} = \frac{n_i}{n} \times \frac{c_j}{n} \quad \text{or} \quad p_{ij} = \frac{r_i}{n} \times \frac{c_j}{n}$$

$\uparrow$ Homogeneity $\uparrow$ Independence

$$i=1, \dots, r \quad j=1, \dots, c$$

$$E\{O_{ij}\} = n p_{ij} = \frac{n_i c_j}{n} \equiv e_{ij}$$

$$= \frac{r_i c_j}{n}$$

Test Stat is a double-sum upgrade of the hof one (A)

$$\chi^2 = \sum_{i=1}^r \sum_{j=1}^c (O_{ij} - e_{ij})^2 / e_{ij}$$

Math:

can show

$$\chi^2 \sim \chi^2_{(r-1)(c-1)}$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$